

BISHOPSTEIGNTON PARISH COUNCIL

DRAFT MINUTES

PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETING

HELD 7.30PM MONDAY 20TH NOVEMBER 2017 AT BISHOPSTEIGNTON COMMUNITY CENTRE

PRESENT: Cllrs. Morgan (Chair), Davey, Cawthraw, Merritt & Nicholson (5/8)
4 MOP – Including Cllrs. Stuckey & McDougall.

APOLOGIES: Cllrs. Bailey, Cahill & Lambert

DOI: None

2071 CURRENT APPLICATIONS

The following new planning applications were considered and comments agreed to be sent to Teignbridge District Council as the Local Planning Authority:

- .01 APPLICATION REF:** 17/02644/FUL- Riversmede, Teignmouth Road
PROPOSAL: Extension at first floor with balcony and new roof form
Members considered and discussed the application. It was proposed by Cllr. Davey, seconded by Cllr. Cawthraw and agreed unanimously to submit the following comment. **RESOLVED.**
PC COMMENTS: No objection.

.02 NOTIFICATION OF LOCAL PLANNING AUTHORITY DECISIONS & APPEALS:

The Clerk advised of an appeal notification received since the agenda for this meeting was published; it had not been made clear when comments should be submitted to TDC. It was agreed this item is to be considered expedient therefore in accordance with BPC Standing Order 22 the appeal was reviewed.

APPEAL REF: 17/00062/REF - Fair Isle, 39 Teign View Road

DESCRIPTION: Appeal against the refusal of planning application 17/01494/FUL - Erection of a single storey dwelling

It was proposed by Cllr. Nicholson, seconded by Cllr. Merritt, agreed unanimously and therefore **RESOLVED** to reiterate BPC previous comments to the LPA whilst emphasising the adoption on BNDP and report further comments may be submitted following the BPC meeting to be held Monday 4th December.

PC COMMENTS: BPC reiterate comments previously submitted against application 17/01494/FUL and wish to emphasis the recent adoption of Bishopsteignton Neighbourhood Development Plan.
BPC may wish to submit further comments following their meeting to be held Monday 04.12.17.

2072 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

- .01** Mr. Urmson, applicant of recently refused 17/01806/FUL – 2 Great Furlong asked why, on 0310.17, BPC had submitted the following comments to the LPA;
1. *'We would not wish to see the balance of spacing interrupted by an extension on the west side of 2 Great Furlong.'* - Mr. Urmson questioned this comment as there are currently sheds in this location. The Chairman responded that sheds are only considered a temporary structure.
 2. *'If this current application were to be granted BPC suggest that conditions be imposed to maintain the current low density to land mass on this estate. ... remove permitted development rights on 2 Great Furlong'* – Cllr. Nicholson explained the Councils concern for over development of the site and asked Mr. Urmson his reasons for developing at the west of the property rather than the East which would maintain some level of spacing between properties. He explained the topography and stability of the land to the East is not suitable for development.

THE CHAIRMAN CLOSED THE MEETING AT 8.19PM